

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,201	OTAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J. Angebrannt	1795	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Martin J. Angebrannt.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert W. Diehl (35,118).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 November 2007

Time: 4:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

claims 1 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and applicant's representative discussed claims language for claims 1 and 5, which meets the desires of the applicant and increased the clarity of the claims relative to the proposed amendments of the 312 amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)